

-DRAFT-

Title: Non Persons - Less than Homeless
Author: Douglas C. Wilson
Projected publication date: 2011 APR 26
ISBN 978-0-9680166-9-5

Author's Preface

Who are Non Persons?

2011/3/5 1:15 PM
Political Incorrectness

Should that not be “What are Non Persons?” ?

Non Persons – Less than Homeless is about AllThis.

AllThis is about political persecution. Are you the next target??

Political persecution is about deliberate criminal acts by authorities.

Political Incorrectness is permitting non persons to be the authorities that commit political persecution against real people by treating them as less than homeless.

Is it possible to be Less than Homeless?

If you are homeless it means that you are a person without a home.

If you are a non person it means that you are deemed by authorities to have no legal standing as a person, you have no identity as a person, you have no rights as a person. If you were a person it means that you have been erased.

Now you know why it is so easy for authorities to ignore human rights.

If you are a non person then you are not a person, you are not human, you can not then have human rights.

Being without a home, being homeless, has many meanings.

Typically it means that you have no permanent physical structure as a residence. One might rationalize this situation by imagining that the whole planet is your home so you are not really homeless. If you are asked where you are from you might answer that you are from the third planet from the sun just as our salt and pepper shaker has the markings “MADE ON THE THIRD PLANET FROM THE SUN – U.S.A. - W.E. BOUNDS ASSOCIATES, MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIF”.

I suppose it depends on how small you want or are willing to have your home be.

-DRAFT-

But it can also mean without a country. Belonging to no nation. Part of no culture. Not belonging to any race.

If you are a real person then this results in you not having an identity and not being acknowledged as existing.

If on the other hand you are a real non person then there can be significant advantages.

Will the real Non Person please stand up?
Sounds like the highlight of an old TV show.

Is there a point to AllThis?

One of the grave dangers present in our society is the encroaching control of our society by non persons. An artificial entity is a non person.

Businesses, corporations and nations are all artificial constructs of non person entities that may be given by some persons the status of persons.

These same non person entities, with the facade of person-hood, then claim to be the authorities that can deny person-hood to real people by deeming people to be non persons.

Or worse – that the artificial non person entity be given priority over real people.

This has already happened.

“For example, in The Lawyer's Code of Professional Responsibility” adopted by the New York State Bar Association, in Canon 5, Ethical Consideration 5-18 states “A lawyer employed or retained by a corporation or similar entity owes allegiance to the entity and not to a stockholder, director, officer, employee, representative, or other person connected with the entity.”

In other words, the artificial non person entity takes priority and authority over that of real people.

So.

If you are a real person that has been deemed by an artificial entity to be less than a real person, i.e. a non person, then you are less than homeless.

Even though Isaac Asimov wrote in “I, Robot” that Robots must follow the First Law of Robotics,

he did not take into account what would happen when real human beings are designated

Non Persons – Less than Homeless.

-DRAFT-

As a footnote, for those who have not read or do not have easy access to the Book “I, ROBOT” by Isaac Asimov 1950, here are the three fundamental Rules of Robotics:

cf page 40

“One, a robot may not injure a human being, or through inaction, allow a human being to come to harm.

Two, a robot must obey the orders given it by human beings except where such orders would conflict with the First Law.

Three, a robot must protect its own existence as long as such protection does not conflict with the First or Second Laws.”